### DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

## BARAFF, KOERNER, OLENDER & HOCHBERG, P. C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
5335 WISCONSIN AVENUE, N. W., SUITE 300
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20015-2003

(202) 686-3200

B. JAY BARAFF
ROBERT L. OLENDER
JAMES A. KOERNER
PHILIP R. HOCHBERG
MARK J. PALCHICK
JAMES E. MEYERS
SUSAN R. ATHARI\*

THOMAS B. MAGEE

\*ADMITTED IN VA ONLY

DOCKET EILE COPY ORIGINAL

December 16, 1994

OF COUNSEL
ROBERT BENNETT LUBIC
DOCKET FILE COPY OFICINAL
FAX 2007 684-8282

RECEIVED

[DEC1] 61994

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Mr. William Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: MM Docket Nos. 93-215 and 92-266

Reply Comments on Fifth Reconsideration Order

Dear Mr. Caton:

Attached please find an original and four copies of the above-referenced pleading of Avenue TV Cable, Massillon Cable TV, Pegasus Cable and Thompson Cable Vision Co.

Please contact the undersigned counsel if you have any questions regarding this submission.

Sincerely yours

Thomas B. Magee
Attorney for
Avenue TV Cable
Massillon Cable TV

Pegasus Cable

Thompson Cable Vision Co.

tbm\15050.00\caton.cov

# Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF SECRETARY

In the Matter of	)				
	)				
Implementation of Section of	)	MM I	Docket	No.	93-215
the Cable Television Consumer	)				
Protection and Competition Act	)	MM I	Oocket	No.	92-266
of 1992: Rate Regulation	)				

# REPLY COMMENTS OF AVENUE TV CABLE, MASSILLON CABLE TV, PEGASUS CABLE AND THOMPSON CABLE VISION CO.

Avenue TV Cable, Massillon Cable TV, Pegasus Cable, and Thompson Cable Vision Co. (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Commenters"), by counsel, hereby submit Reply Comments in response to the <u>Fifth Order on Reconsideration and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking released September 26, 1994 in the above-captioned proceeding ("Fifth Reconsideration").<sup>1</sup> In support of these Reply Comments, Commenters state as follows:</u>

Commenters submit these Reply Comments for the limited purpose of supporting the definition of "small cable company" proposed by the National Cable Television Association, Inc.

("NCTA") in its Comments submitted November 16, 1994.2

In Comments submitted November 16, 1994 in this proceeding, Commenters suggested a gross annual revenue figure of \$25 million as the cut-off for a cable company to qualify as a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>FCC 94-234.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>"Comments of the National Cable Television Association, Inc.," MM Docket Nos. 93-215 and 92-266 (filed Nov. 16, 1994) ("NCTA Comments").

"Smaller Operator" which should be eligible for regulatory relief. Commenters indicated they were not suggesting that a higher figure might not be appropriate.<sup>3</sup>

In NCTA's November 16, 1994 Comments, NCTA argued persuasively that the FCC's definition of small cable operator must be consistent, <u>inter alia</u>, with its definitions of small telephone companies. NCTA supported rate relief for all "small cable companies," which were defined as those with, at a minimum, \$40 million or less in annual revenues. NCTA also stated it would be appropriate to extend significant relief to cable companies with annual revenues of \$100 million or less.<sup>4</sup>

Commenters agree with the analysis developed by NCTA, and in particular support the concept that relief for smaller cable companies should be consistent with relief granted small telephone companies. To this end, commenters hereby modify their position to support adoption by the FCC of regulatory relief for small cable companies, as defined by NCTA. To do otherwise would be unfair to cable operators and would violate principles of regulatory consistency.

WHEREFORE, Commenters respectfully request the Commission to adopt size standards for small cable companies

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>"Comments of Avenue TV Cable, Massillon Cable TV, Pegasus Cable and Thompson Cable Vision Co.," MM Docket Nos. 93-215 and 92-266 (filed Nov. 16, 1994), at 2 and n.2.

<sup>\*</sup>See NCTA Comments at 17-22.

consistent with those developed in NCTA's November 16, 1994 Comments in this proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,

AVENUE TV CABLE
MASSILLON CABLE TV
PEGASUS CABLE
THOMPSON CABLE VISION CO.

By:

B. Jay Baraff
Mark J. Palchick
Thomas B. Magee
Baraff, Koerner, Olender
& Hochberg, P.C.
5335 Wisconsin Avenue, NW
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20015
202/686-3200

Attorneys for Avenue TV Cable Massillon Cable TV Pegasus Cable Thompson Cable Vision Co.

December 16, 1994

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Marianne C. Lynch, a secretary in the law offices of Baraff, Koerner, Olender & Hochberg, P.C., do hereby certify that copies of the foregoing pleading were served this 16th day of December 1994, via hand delivery upon the following:

Honorable Reed Hundt, Chairman FCC, Room 814, Stop Code: 01011919 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner James H. Quello FCC, Room 802, Stop Code: 0106 1919 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner Andrew C. Barrett FCC, Room 826, Stop Code: 0103 1919 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner Susan Ness FCC, Room 832, Stop Code: 0104 1919 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner Rachelle Chong FCC, Room 844, Stop Code: 0105 1919 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Blair Levin, Esq. Chief of Staff Office of the Chairman, FCC Room 814, Stop Code: 0101 1919 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Meredith Jones, Esq., Chief Cable Services Bureau FCC, Room 918, 2033 M Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20554

Mr. William H. Johnson Cable Services Bureau Federal Communications Commission 2033 M Street, NW, Room 918 Washington, D.C. 20554

Marianne C. Lynch